

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PlanningPolicy](#)  
**Subject:** Thatcham NE Housing Development  
**Date:** 03 February 2023 13:56:56

---

**This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.**

---

To Whom It May Concern,

I would like to submit my objections to the Thatcham NE housing development for the following reasons:

1. Traffic - the roads around upper bucklebury and other villages are narrow country lanes and cannot cope with increased traffic, which is certain to happen with the proposed increase in housing to the area.
2. Pressure on secondary schools - Kennet is over-subscribed. This development does not take into account provision for schools or proper infrastructure in this regard.
3. Damage to the common - increased footfall as a result of the development. This is an important ecosystem which is already under strain.
4. Environment - Greenfield development abutting an AONB. This has not been properly taken into account or provision made for conserving wildlife and their habitats.
5. Pressure on doctors surgeries - already over subscribed local surgeries cannot cope with further pressure.
6. Lack of definition between Thatcham and Bucklebury - Upper Bucklebury will lose its identity as thatcham and Bucklebury will effectively merge.
7. The claimed 'reduction' in the number of houses to 1,500 is misleading. This should read 'increased' to 1,500 houses because the plan extends to 2039 rather than 2036.

Yours sincerely

Emily Mumby.

[REDACTED]