

## Comment

Consultee	[REDACTED] (1265716)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Company / Organisation	Beenham Parish Council
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Beenham Parish Council ([REDACTED] - 1265716)
Comment ID	PS13
Response Date	06/02/23 22:02
Consultation Point	Policy SP 17 North East Thatcham Strategic Site Allocation ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Bookmark	Beenham Parish Council

### 1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means*

Yes

### 2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

*Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.*

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

*Please tick all that apply:*

**Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.** . Yes

**Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.** . Yes

**Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.** . Yes

**Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF.** . Yes

### 3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.*

Yes

### 4. Proposed Changes

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

*You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

#### **Local Plan Review – comments from Beenham PC**

SP17 - North- East Thatcham Strategic Site

- . No objection in principle
- . Ensure infrastructure is in place before housing
- . Flood risk study for neighbouring sites.
- . Assess increase in road traffic on road through Upper Bucklebury (which will lead to increased traffic through Beenham). Identify mitigation measures to stop traffic using this route.

### 5. Independent Examination

**If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)?** No

### 6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

**Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?**

*Please tick all that apply*

**The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination** . Yes

**The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination** . Yes

**The adoption of the Local Plan Review** . Yes

## Comment

Consultee	[REDACTED] (1265716)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Company / Organisation	Beenham Parish Council
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Beenham Parish Council ([REDACTED] - 1265716)
Comment ID	PS22
Response Date	06/02/23 22:02
Consultation Point	Policy ESA 4 Beenham Landfill, Pips Way, Beenham (Site Ref: part of BEEN3 and part of BEEN5) ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
Bookmark	Beenham Parish Council

### 1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means*

Yes

### 2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

*Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.*

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

*Please tick all that apply:*

**Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas** . Yes

is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. . Yes

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. . Yes

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

### 3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.*

Yes

### 4. Proposed Changes

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

*You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

#### **Local Plan Review – comments from Beenham PC**

ESA4- Beenham Landfill

- . No objection in principle
- . Restrict development to quiet activities, as sound travels up the hill and can be heard in Beenham Village.
- . Landscape buffer should be of trees that will grow tall, to shield from views from higher land.
- . Lighting should be minimised.

### 5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? . No

### 6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

*Please tick all that apply*

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

**The adoption of the Local Plan Review**

. Yes

## Comment

Consultee	[REDACTED] (1265716)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Company / Organisation	Beenham Parish Council
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Beenham Parish Council ([REDACTED] - 1265716)
Comment ID	PS23
Response Date	06/02/23 22:02
Consultation Point	Policy ESA 5 Northway Porsche, Grange Lane, Beenham (Site ref: BEEN10) ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Bookmark	Beenham Parish Council

### 1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means*

Yes

### 2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

*Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.*

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

*Please tick all that apply:*

**Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.** . Yes

**Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.** . Yes

**Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.** . Yes

**Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF.** . Yes

### 3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.*

Yes

### 4. Proposed Changes

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

*You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

#### **Local Plan Review – comments from Beenham PC**

ESA5 – Northway Porsche

- . No objection in principle, although this area is a mixed housing and industrial zone.
- . Access road is in poor condition, and ownership of road is uncertain (?). This needs to be clarified.
- . Access road is dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists. It is a route from Beenham to rail station and bus-stops. A footpath must be provided.
- . Parameter d(v) should be deleted. The neighbouring recycling plant is very noisy. Permitted development should be restricted to activities that are not noisy, as there is housing in Beenham Grange and noise travels up the hill to Beenham Village.

### 5. Independent Examination

**If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)?** No

### 6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

**Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?**

*Please tick all that apply*

**The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination** . Yes

**The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination** . Yes

**The adoption of the Local Plan Review** . Yes

## Comment

Consultee	[REDACTED] (1265716)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Company / Organisation	Beenham Parish Council
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Beenham Parish Council ([REDACTED] - 1265716)
Comment ID	PS24
Response Date	06/02/23 22:02
Consultation Point	Policy ESA 6 Land adjacent to Padworth IWMF, Padworth Lane, Padworth (Site Ref: PAD4) ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Bookmark	Beenham Parish Council

### 1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means*

Yes

### 2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

*Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.*

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

*Please tick all that apply:*

**Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas** . Yes



is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. . Yes

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. . Yes

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

### 3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.*

Yes

### 4. Proposed Changes

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

*You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

#### **Local Plan Review – comments from Beenham PC**

ESA6 – Land adjacent to Padworth IWMF

- . No objection in principle
- . The site is near to housing. Noisy activities should not be permitted.
- . The site is visible from high ground in Beenham Village. Tall landscape buffers should be provided.

### 5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? . No

### 6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

**Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?**

*Please tick all that apply*

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

The adoption of the Local Plan Review . Yes