

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PlanningPolicy](#)
Subject: WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection
Date: 25 February 2023 14:53:51

This is an **EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK** before you **CLICK** links or **OPEN** attachments.

From:
Margaret Baker

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I am objecting to the above as unsound for the following reasons.

HEALTHCARE PROVISION

A development of the proposed size will have a massive impact on our health services in this area. There is a huge decline in the uptake of new GP's in this country so there is no realistic prospect for this area of a new GP practice. Added to this the knock on affect to local health care services the impact would be an unmitigated disaster.

SCHOOL PROVISION

There seems to be no clear decision within LPR for Nursery or Early Years. It also seems the provision of Primary school education is somewhat unclear and contradictory together with unsound details of Secondary Education ie evidence of pupil numbers for school, form entries not defined, funding timings etc. The council has a duty to suitable school provisions and how this is met across all school years, it is not defined in the LPR. In addition sports field provision needs addressing for North East Thatcham.

INFRASTRUCTURE

There are grave concerns what impact these additional properties will have on the existing roads system around particularly traffic try to access A4..

[Sent from the all-new AOL app for iOS](#)